

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------|------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/756,982 | | 01/14/2004 | Susumu Inatsugu | MAT-8498US | 3712 | | |
| 23122 | 7590 | 05/16/2006 | | EXAMINER CAO, HUEDUNG X | INER | | |
| RATNERP | | L | | CAO, HUEDUNG X | | | |
| P O BOX 98 VALLEY F | | PA 19482-0980 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI | | | |
| | , | | | 2821 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | 14 |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/756,982 | 0/756,982 INATSUGU ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Huedung X. Cao | 2821 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | PLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this note event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and the last the statutory period for reply expires. | owing replies: (1) an amend lotice of Appeal (with appeance with 37 CFR 1.114. The te of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from | dment, affidavit, or other evider al fee) in compliance with 37 C e reply must be filed within one e set forth in the final rejection, whe the mailing date of the final rejection. | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following sichever is later. In ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file | extension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for er than three months after the b). Inpliance with 37 CFR 41.37 cension thereof (37 CFR 41 | ng amount of the fee. The appropring reply originally set in the final Offi mailing date of the final rejection, where the filed within two months and the filed within two months and fee. | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| AMENDMENTS | a main are arresponded oc | 110101111101 Of 11 41.01 (a). | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling and the second se | onsideration and/or search low); etter form for appeal by ma | (see NOTE below); terially reducing or simplifying | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | of Non-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | separate, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8 and 14. |) will not be entered, or ovided below or appended. | b) 🛛 will be entered and an e | explanation of |

| <u>۱</u> ۲ | CIDAVI | <u>UR</u> | <u> </u> | ⊏VII | レヒロ | ᆫ |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|------|-----|---|
| | _ | | | | | |

Claim(s) rejected: _

| В. 🗀 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered |
|------|--|
| | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and |
| | was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). |

- 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) objected to: 5-7 and 11-13.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

| 3. | Ot | he | r· | |
|----|----|----|----|--|
| | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's attention is drawn to column 7, lines 36-40 for example, which clearly show that the reference is directed to a "one wavelength loop antenna" rather than just the 1/4 wavelength of the Prior Art show, in figure 16. Thus, this would appear to meet the claim limitation. further, even if it didn't explicitly, it is clear that vafious size antenna can be used depending upon the desired application.

TRINH DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Vudwodent _